

upa

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1780 OF 2006**

IN  
SUIT NO.1576 OF 2006

Eastern Stell Industries ).. Plaintiff

Versus

M/s.Technis Industries and others ).. Defendants

Mr.Rajeev Kumar with Mr.V.C. Bodke i/b.M/s.Chitnis  
Vaity & Co. for the Plaintiff.

Mr.A.V. Bajaj with Mr.R.S. Raymond and Mr.V.P. Shastri  
i/b.M/s.Raymond & Co. for Defendant Nos.1, 2 and 4.

Mr.Arshad Hidayatullah with Mr.Birendra Saraf and  
Mr.Nirav Shah i/b.DSK Legal for Defendant No.3.

**CORAM : SMT. NISHITA MHATRE, J.**

**DATED : 27TH JUNE 2006**

**P.C.:**

. The document on which the Plaintiff relies is a Memorandum of Understanding under which the Plaintiff claims it agreed to purchase the suit property. The Plaintiff also claims to have paid the entire consideration. The Plaintiff is claiming possession pursuant to the document. A development agreement has been entered into between Defendant Nos.1 and 2 and Defendant No.3 pursuant to which Defendant No.3 claims to be in possession. It is not in dispute that the document on which the Plaintiff basis its claim is not

registered.

2. Hence, no ad-interim relief.

3. Reply to be filed within four weeks from today.  
Rejoinder, if any, to be filed within two weeks  
thereafter. Motion made returnable after eight weeks.